arXiv:quant-ph/0005129v1 31 May 2000

# *More on Optical Holonomic Quantum Computer*

Kazuyuki FUJII *

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Yokohama City University

Yokohama, 236-0027

Japan

**Abstract**

We in this paper consider a further generalization of the (optical) holonomic quantum computation proposed by Zanardi and Rasetti (quant–ph 9904011), and reinforced by Fujii (quant–ph 9910069) and Pachos and Chountasis (quant–ph 9912093).

We construct a quantum computational bundle on some parameter space, and calculate non-abelian Berry connections and curvatures explicitly in the special cases.

Our main tool is unitary coherent operators based on Lie algebras $su(n+1)$ and $su(n,1)$, where the case of $n=1$ is the previous one.

*E-mail address : fujii@math.yokohama-cu.ac.jp

# 1 Introduction

This paper is a continuation of Fujii [13] and Pachos and Chountasis [12] and the aim is to give a mathematical reinforcement to [6].

Quantum Computer is a very attractive and challenging object for New Science.

After the breakthrough by P. Shor [1] there has been remarkable progress in Quantum Computation (or Computer)(QC briefly). This discovery had a great influence on scientists. This drived not only theoreticians to finding other quantum algorithms, but also experimentalists to building quantum computers. See [4] in outline. [2] and [3] are also very useful for non-experts.

On the other hand, Gauge Theories are widely recognized as the basis in quantum field theories. Therefore it is very natural to intend to include gauge theories in QC $\cdots$ a construction of "gauge theoretical" quantum computation or of "geometric" quantum computation in our terminology. The merit of geometric method of QC is strong against the influence from the environment. See [5].

Zanardi and Rasetti in [6] and [7] proposed such an idea using non-abelian Berry phase (quantum holonomy), see also [8] and [9]. In their model a Hamiltonian (including some parameters) must be degenerated because an adiabatic connection is introduced using this degeneracy [10]. In other words, a quantum computational bundle on some parameter space (see [6]) is introduced due to this degeneracy.

They gave a simple but interesting example to explain their idea. We believe that this example will become important in the near future. Therefore we treated it once more and gave an explicit form to the non-abelian Berry connections and curvatures, see Fujii [11] and Pachos and Chountasis [12].

In [11] a non-abelian Berry connection and curvature was calculated by making use of the product of unitary coherent operators based on Lie algebras $\mathbf{C}$ and $su(1,1)$. On the other hand in [12] an another non-abelian Berry connection and curvature was calculated by making use of the product of unitary coherent operators based on Lie algebras $su(2)$ and $su(1,1)$. See also [13].

We want to generalize the results above. Namely we want to replace Lie algebras $su(2)$ and $su(1,1)$ with bigger Lie algebras $su(n+1)$ and $su(n,1)$ for $n \geq 1$. Fortunately we have many studies of coherent states based on $su(n+1)$ and $su(n,1)$, see, for examples, [14],[15] and [16].

In conclusion it is not easy for us to generalize the result in [11] up to this time because we meet some difficulty. But it is, in principle, possible to generalize the one in [12] in spite of hard calculation. We show this point in this paper. We list full calculations in the case of $n = 2$ and leave the remaining cases to interested readers.

It is not easy to predict the future of geometric quantum computation. However it is an arena worth challenging for mathematical physicists.

## 2 Mathematical Foundation of Quantum Holonomy

We start with mathematical preliminaries. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space over $\mathbf{C}$. For $m \in \mathbf{N}$, we set

$$St_m(\mathcal{H}) \equiv \left\{ V = (v_1, \cdots, v_m) \in \mathcal{H} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{H} | V^\dagger V = 1_m \right\} \ , \tag{1}$$

where $1_m$ is a unit matrix in $M(m, \mathbf{C})$. This is called a (universal) Stiefel manifold. Note that the unitary group $U(m)$ acts on $St_m(\mathcal{H})$ from the right:

$$St_m(\mathcal{H}) \times U(m) \to St_m(\mathcal{H}) : (V, a) \mapsto Va. \tag{2}$$

Next we define a (universal) Grassmann manifold

$$Gr_m(\mathcal{H}) \equiv \left\{ X \in M(\mathcal{H}) | X^2 = X, X^\dagger = X \text{ and } \mathrm{tr} X = m \right\} \ , \tag{3}$$

where $M(\mathcal{H})$ denotes a space of all bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Then we have a projection

$$\pi : St_m(\mathcal{H}) \to Gr_m(\mathcal{H}) \ , \quad \pi(V) \equiv VV^\dagger \ , \tag{4}$$

compatible with the action (2) ($\pi(Va) = Va(Va)^\dagger = Vaa^\dagger V^\dagger = VV^\dagger = \pi(V)$).

Now the set

$$\{U(m), St_m(\mathcal{H}), \pi, Gr_m(\mathcal{H})\} \ , \tag{5}$$

is called a (universal) principal $U(m)$ bundle, see [17] and [18]. We set

$$E_m(\mathcal{H}) \equiv \{(X, v) \in Gr_m(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H} | Xv = v\} \ . \tag{6}$$

Then we have also a projection

$$\pi : E_m(\mathcal{H}) \to Gr_m(\mathcal{H}) \ , \quad \pi((X, v)) \equiv X \ . \tag{7}$$

The set

$$\{\mathbf{C}^m, E_m(\mathcal{H}), \pi, Gr_m(\mathcal{H})\} \ , \tag{8}$$

is called a (universal) $m$-th vector bundle. This vector bundle is one associated with the principal $U(m)$ bundle (5) .

Next let $M$ be a finite or infinite dimensional differentiable manifold and the map $P : M \to Gr_m(\mathcal{H})$ be given (called a projector). Using this $P$ we can make the bundles (5) and (8) pullback over $M$ :

$$\left\{U(m), \widetilde{St}, \pi_{\widetilde{St}}, M\right\} \equiv P^* \left\{U(m), St_m(\mathcal{H}), \pi, Gr_m(\mathcal{H})\right\} \ , \tag{9}$$

$$\left\{\mathbf{C}^m, \widetilde{E}, \pi_{\widetilde{E}}, M\right\} \equiv P^* \left\{\mathbf{C}^m, E_m(\mathcal{H}), \pi, Gr_m(\mathcal{H})\right\} \ , \tag{10}$$

see [17]. (10) is of course a vector bundle associated with (9).

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a parameter space and we denote by $\lambda$ its element. Let $\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}$ be a fixed reference point of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $H_\lambda$ be a family of Hamiltonians parametrized by $\mathcal{M}$ which act on a Fock space $\mathcal{H}$. We set $H_0 = H_{\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}}$ for simplicity and assume that this has a $m$-fold degenerate vacuum :

$$H_0 v_j = \mathbf{0}, \quad j = 1 \sim m. \tag{11}$$

These $v_j$'s form a $m$-dimensional vector space. We may assume that $\langle v_i | v_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Then $(v_1, \cdots, v_m) \in St_m(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$F_0 \equiv \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j v_j | x_j \in \mathbf{C} \right\} \cong \mathbf{C}^m.$$

Namely, $F_0$ is a vector space associated with o.n.basis $(v_1, \cdots, v_m)$.

Next we assume for simplicity that a family of unitary operators parametrized by $\mathcal{M}$

$$W : \mathcal{M} \to U(\mathcal{H}), \quad W(\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}) = \mathrm{id}. \tag{12}$$

is given and $H_\lambda$ above is given by the following isospectral family

$$H_\lambda \equiv W(\lambda) H_0 W(\lambda)^{-1}. \tag{13}$$

In this case there is no level crossing of eigenvalues. Making use of $W(\lambda)$ we can define a projector

$$P : \mathcal{M} \to Gr_m(\mathcal{H}), \quad P(\lambda) \equiv W(\lambda) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} v_j v_j^\dagger \right) W(\lambda)^{-1} \tag{14}$$

and have the pullback bundles over $\mathcal{M}$

$$\left\{ U(m), \widetilde{St}, \pi_{\widetilde{St}}, \mathcal{M} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \mathbf{C}^m, \widetilde{E}, \pi_{\widetilde{E}}, \mathcal{M} \right\}. \tag{15}$$

For the later we set

$$|vac\rangle = (v_1, \cdots, v_m). \tag{16}$$

In this case a canonical connection form $\mathcal{A}$ of $\left\{ U(m), \widetilde{St}, \pi_{\widetilde{St}}, \mathcal{M} \right\}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle vac | W(\lambda)^{-1} dW(\lambda) | vac \rangle, \tag{17}$$

where $d$ is a differential form on $\mathcal{M}$, and its curvature form by

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}. \tag{18}$$

On the other hand the global form of the curvature is given by $PdP \wedge dP$, which is related to (18) by

$$PdP \wedge dP = W \mathcal{F} W^{-1} = W \left( d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \right) W^{-1}, \tag{19}$$

see [10], [17] and [11].

Let $\gamma$ be a loop in $\mathcal{M}$ at $\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}$., $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathcal{M}, \gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$. For this $\gamma$ a holonomy operator $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined :

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma) = \mathcal{P}exp \left\{ \oint_\gamma \mathcal{A} \right\} \in U(m), \tag{20}$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ means path-ordered. This acts on the fiber $F_0$ at $\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}$ of the vector bundle $\left\{\mathbf{C}^m, \widetilde{E}, \pi_{\widetilde{E}}, M\right\}$ as follows : $\mathbf{x} \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma)\mathbf{x}$. The holonomy group $Hol(\mathcal{A})$ is in general subgroup of $U(m)$ . In the case of $Hol(\mathcal{A}) = U(m)$, $\mathcal{A}$ is called irreducible, see [17].

In the Holonomic Quantum Computer we take

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Encoding of Information} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{x} \in F_0, \\ &\text{Processing of Information} \Longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma) : \mathbf{x} \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma)\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

# 3 Unitary Coherent Operators based on $su(n+1)$ and $su(n,1)$

We apply the results of last section to Quantum Optics and discuss about (optical) Holonomic Quantum Computation proposed by [6] and [12].

Let $a(a^\dagger)$ be the annihilation (creation) operator of the harmonic oscillator. If we set $N \equiv a^\dagger a$ (: number operator), then

$$[N, a^\dagger] = a^\dagger \ , \ [N, a] = -a \ , \ [a, a^\dagger] = 1 \ . \tag{22}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Fock space generated by $a$ and $a^\dagger$, and $\{|n\rangle | n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ be its basis. The actions of $a$ and $a^\dagger$ on $\mathcal{H}$ are given by

$$a|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle \ , \ a^\dagger|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle \ , \tag{23}$$

where $|0\rangle$ is a vacuum ($a|0\rangle = 0$).

Next we consider the system of $n+1$–harmonic oscillators. For $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} a_j &= 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes a \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \ (\mathrm{j-position}), \\ {a_j}^\dagger &= 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes a^\dagger \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \ (\mathrm{j-position}), \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

then it is easy to see

$$[a_i, a_j] = [a_i^\dagger, a_j^\dagger] = 0, \ [a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1. \tag{25}$$

We also denote by $N_j = a_j^\dagger a_j$ its number operators.

The Fock space $\mathcal{H}^{(n+1)}$ fot the system of $n+1$–harmonic oscillators is the $n+1$–tensor product $\mathcal{H}^{(n+1)} = \mathcal{H} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}$, and each $a_j$ and ${a_j}^\dagger$ acts on $j$–component of $\mathcal{H}^{(n+1)}$ like (23).

Now since we want to consider coherent states based on Lie algebras $su(n+1)$ and $su(n,1)$, we make use of Schwinger's boson method, see [14], [15].

## 3.1 $su(n+1)$

If we set

$$E_{\alpha\beta} = {a_\alpha}^\dagger a_\beta, \quad 1 \leq \alpha,\ \beta \leq n+1 \tag{26}$$

then from (25) we find

$$[E_{\alpha\beta}, E_{\gamma\delta}] = E_{\alpha\delta}\delta_{\beta\gamma} - \delta_{\delta\alpha}E_{\gamma\beta}, \tag{27}$$

where $\delta = \text{diag.}(1, \cdots, 1)$. That is, $\{E_{\alpha\beta}|\ 1 \leq \alpha,\ \beta \leq n+1\}$ is a generator of Lie algebra $u(n+1)$. Then a set of generator $\{E_{j,n+1}|1 \leq j \leq n\}$ plays an important role. For $1 \leq j \leq n$ we set

$$J^j{}_+ = a_j^\dagger a_{n+1},\ J^j{}_- = {a_{n+1}}^\dagger a_j,\ J^j{}_3 = \frac{1}{2}\left(a_j^\dagger a_j - {a_{n+1}}^\dagger a_{n+1}\right), \tag{28}$$

then we have

$$[J^j{}_3, J^j{}_+] = J^j{}_+,\ [J^j{}_3, J^j{}_-] = -J^j{}_-,\ [J^j{}_+, J^j{}_-] = 2J^j{}_3. \tag{29}$$

Namely, $\{J^j{}_+, J^j{}_-, J^j{}_3\}$ forms $su(2)$–algebra. From this we can construct unitary coherent operators based on $su(2)$–algebra : For $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$U_j(\xi_j) = exp\Big(\xi_j a_j^\dagger a_{n+1} - \bar{\xi_j}{a_{n+1}}^\dagger a_j\Big). \tag{30}$$

The disentangling formula for this operator is

$$U_j(\xi_j) = e^{\eta_j a_j^\dagger a_{n+1}} e^{\log\left(1+|\eta_j|^2\right)\frac{1}{2}\left(a_j^\dagger a_j - a_{n+1}^\dagger a_{n+1}\right)} e^{-\bar{\eta}_j {a_{n+1}}^\dagger a_j}, \quad \eta_j = \frac{\xi_j \tan\left(|\xi_j|\right)}{|\xi_j|}. \tag{31}$$

see [13]. Therefore combining these operators we define a unitary coherent operator based on $su(n+1)$–algebra :

**Definition 1** For $\vec{\xi} = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_n)$ we define

$$U\left(\vec{\xi}\right) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{n} U_j(\xi_j) \quad \text{(in this order)}. \tag{32}$$

Fot simplicity we also set $U\left(\vec{\xi}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} U_j$. For the latter convenience let us calculate $U\left(\vec{\xi}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} U\left(\vec{\xi}\right)$. It is easy to see

$$U\left(\vec{\xi}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} U\left(\vec{\xi}\right) = U_n^{-1} \cdots U_{j+1}^{-1} \left( U_j^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} U_j \right) U_{j+1} \cdots U_n.$$

On the other hand we have already calculated $U_j^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} U_j$ :

**Lemma 2** We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_j^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} U_j = \quad & \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\sin(2|\xi_j|)}{2|\xi_j|} \right) a_j^\dagger a_{n+1} \\ & + \frac{\bar{\xi}_j}{2|\xi_j|^2} \left(1 - \cos(2|\xi_j|)\right) \frac{1}{2} \left( a_j^\dagger a_j - a_{n+1}^\dagger a_{n+1} \right) \\ & + \frac{\bar{\xi}_j^{\,2}}{2|\xi_j|^2} \left( -1 + \frac{\sin(2|\xi_j|)}{2|\xi_j|} \right) a_{n+1}^\dagger a_j. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

From this we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U\left(\vec{\xi}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} U\left(\vec{\xi}\right) = \quad & \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\sin(2|\xi_j|)}{2|\xi_j|} \right) a_j^\dagger U_n^{-1} \cdots U_{j+1}^{-1} a_{n+1} U_{j+1} \cdots U_n \\ & + \frac{\bar{\xi}_j}{2|\xi_j|^2} \left(1 - \cos(2|\xi_j|)\right) \frac{1}{2} \left( a_j^\dagger a_j - U_n^{-1} \cdots U_{j+1}^{-1} a_{n+1}^\dagger a_{n+1} U_{j+1} \cdots U_n \right) \\ & + \frac{\bar{\xi}_j^{\,2}}{2|\xi_j|^2} \left( -1 + \frac{\sin(2|\xi_j|)}{2|\xi_j|} \right) U_n^{-1} \cdots U_{j+1}^{-1} a_{n+1}^\dagger U_{j+1} \cdots U_n a_j \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Therefore we have only to calculate the term $U_n^{-1} \cdots U_{j+1}^{-1} a_{n+1} U_{j+1} \cdots U_n$.

**Lemma 3** We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_n^{-1} \cdots U_{j+1}^{-1} a_{n+1} U_{j+1} \cdots U_n = c_{n,j+1} a_{n+1} - \sum_{l=j+1}^{n} d_{l,j+1} a_l, \quad \text{where} \\ c_{n,j+1} \equiv \cos(|\xi_n|) \cos(|\xi_{n-1}|) \cdots \cos(|\xi_{j+1}|), \\ d_{l,j+1} \equiv \frac{\bar{\xi}_l \sin(|\xi_l|)}{|\xi_l|} \cos(|\xi_{l-1}|) \cos(|\xi_{l-2}|) \cdots \cos(|\xi_{j+1}|), \\ \text{for} \quad j+1 \le l \le n. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Fron these facts we obtain

**Proposition 4** for $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U\left(\vec{\xi}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} U\left(\vec{\xi}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\sin(2|\xi_j|)}{2|\xi_j|}\right)\left\{c_{n,j+1} a_j^\dagger a_{n+1} - \sum_{l=j+1}^{n} d_{l,j+1} a_j^\dagger a_l\right\} \\
& + \frac{\bar{\xi}_j}{2|\xi_j|^2}\left(1-\cos(2|\xi_j|)\right)\frac{1}{2}\left\{a_j^\dagger a_j - c_{n,j+1}^2 a_{n+1}^\dagger a_{n+1} + \sum_{l=j+1}^{n} c_{n,j+1} d_{l,j+1} a_{n+1}^\dagger a_l \right. \\
& \left. + \sum_{l=j+1}^{n} c_{n,j+1} \bar{d}_{l,j+1} a_l^\dagger a_{n+1} - \sum_{l,k=j+1}^{n} \bar{d}_{l,j+1} d_{k,j+1} a_l^\dagger a_k \right\} \\
& + \frac{\bar{\xi}_j^{\,2}}{2|\xi_j|^2}\left(-1+\frac{\sin(2|\xi_j|)}{2|\xi_j|}\right)\left\{c_{n,j+1} a_{n+1}^\dagger a_j - \sum_{l=j+1}^{n} \bar{d}_{l,j+1} a_l^\dagger a_j\right\}. \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3.2 $su(n,1)$

If we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\alpha\beta} = {a_\alpha}^\dagger a_\beta, \quad 1 \leq \alpha,\ \beta \leq n, \\
& E_{n+1,\alpha} = a_{n+1} a_\alpha, \quad E_{\alpha,n+1} = {a_\alpha}^\dagger {a_{n+1}}^\dagger, \quad E_{n+1,n+1} = {a_{n+1}}^\dagger a_{n+1} + 1, \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}
$$

then from (25) we find

$$
[E_{\alpha\beta}, E_{\gamma\delta}] = E_{\alpha\delta}\eta_{\beta\gamma} - \eta_{\delta\alpha} E_{\gamma\beta}, \qquad (38)
$$

where $\eta = \text{diag.}(1, \cdots, 1, -1)$. That is, $\{E_{\alpha\beta}|\ 1 \leq \alpha,\ \beta \leq n+1\}$ is a generator of Lie algebra $u(n,1)$. Then a set of generator $\{E_{j,n+1}|1 \leq j \leq n\}$ plays an important role. For $1 \leq j \leq n$ we set

$$
{K^j}_+ = a_j^\dagger {a_{n+1}}^\dagger,\ {K^j}_- = a_{n+1} a_j,\ {K^j}_3 = \frac{1}{2}\left(a_j^\dagger a_j + {a_{n+1}}^\dagger a_{n+1} + 1\right), \qquad (39)
$$

then we have

$$
[{K^j}_3, {K^j}_+] = {K^j}_+,\ [{K^j}_3, {K^j}_-] = -{K^j}_-,\ [{K^j}_+, {K^j}_-] = -2{K^j}_3. \qquad (40)
$$

Namely, $\{{K^j}_+, {K^j}_-, {K^j}_3\}$ forms $su(1,1)$–algebra. From this we can construct unitary coherent operators based on $su(1,1)$–algebra : For $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$
V_j(\zeta_j) = exp\Big(\zeta_j a_j^\dagger {a_{n+1}}^\dagger - \bar{\zeta}_j a_{n+1} a_j\Big). \qquad (41)
$$

The disentangling formula for this operator is

$$V_j(\zeta_j) = e^{\kappa_j a_j^\dagger a_{n+1}{}^\dagger} e^{\log\left(1-|\kappa_j|^2\right)\frac{1}{2}\left(a_j^\dagger a_j + a_{n+1}^\dagger a_{n+1}+1\right)} e^{-\bar{\kappa}_j a_{n+1} a_j}, \quad \kappa_j = \frac{\zeta_j \tanh\left(|\zeta_j|\right)}{|\zeta_j|}. \tag{42}$$

see [13]. Therefore combining these operators we define a unitary coherent operator based on $su(n,1)$–algebra :

**Definition 5** For $\vec{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \cdots, \zeta_n)$ we define

$$V\left(\vec{\zeta}\right) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{n} V_j(\zeta_j) \quad \text{(in this order)}. \tag{43}$$

Fot simplicity we also set $V\left(\vec{\zeta}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} V_j$. For the latter convenience let us calculate $V\left(\vec{\zeta}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} V\left(\vec{\xi}\right)$. It is easy to see

$$V\left(\vec{\zeta}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} V\left(\vec{\zeta}\right) = V_n^{-1} \cdots V_{j+1}^{-1} \left(V_j^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} V_j\right) V_{j+1} \cdots V_n.$$

On the other hand we have already calculated $V_j^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} V_j$ :

**Lemma 6** We have

$$\begin{aligned} V_j^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} V_j = \quad & \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{\sinh(2|\zeta_j|)}{2|\zeta_j|}\right) a_j^\dagger a_{n+1}^\dagger \\ & + \frac{\bar{\zeta}_j}{2|\zeta_j|^2}\left(-1 + \cosh(2|\zeta_j|)\right) \frac{1}{2}\left(a_j^\dagger a_j + a_{n+1}^\dagger a_{n+1} + 1\right) \\ & + \frac{\bar{\zeta}_j^{\,2}}{2|\zeta_j|^2}\left(-1 + \frac{\sinh(2|\zeta_j|)}{2|\zeta_j|}\right) a_{n+1} a_j. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

From this we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V\left(\vec{\zeta}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} V\left(\vec{\zeta}\right) = & \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{\sinh(2|\zeta_j|)}{2|\zeta_j|}\right) a_j^\dagger V_n^{-1} \cdots V_{j+1}^{-1} a_{n+1}^\dagger V_{j+1} \cdots V_n \\ & + \frac{\bar{\zeta}_j}{2|\zeta_j|^2}\left(-1 + \cosh(2|\zeta_j|)\right) \frac{1}{2}\left(a_j^\dagger a_j + 1 + V_n^{-1} \cdots V_{j+1}^{-1} a_{n+1}^\dagger a_{n+1} V_{j+1} \cdots V_n\right) \\ & + \frac{\bar{\zeta}_j^{\,2}}{2|\zeta_j|^2}\left(-1 + \frac{\sinh(2|\zeta_j|)}{2|\zeta_j|}\right) V_n^{-1} \cdots V_{j+1}^{-1} a_{n+1} V_{j+1} \cdots V_n a_j \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Therefore we have only to calculate the term $V_n^{-1} \cdots V_{j+1}^{-1} a_{n+1}^\dagger V_{j+1} \cdots V_n$.

**Lemma 7** We have

$$V_n^{-1} \cdots V_{j+1}^{-1} a_{n+1}^\dagger V_{j+1} \cdots V_n = e_{n,j+1} a_{n+1}^\dagger + \sum_{l=j+1}^{n} f_{l,j+1} a_l, \quad \text{where}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{n,j+1} &\equiv \cosh(|\zeta_n|)\cosh(|\zeta_{n-1}|)\cdots\cosh(|\zeta_{j+1}|), \\
f_{l,j+1} &\equiv \frac{\bar{\zeta}_l \sinh(|\zeta_l|)}{|\zeta_l|}\cosh(|\zeta_{l-1}|)\cosh(|\zeta_{l-2}|)\cdots\cosh(|\zeta_{j+1}|), \\
&\quad \text{for} \quad j+1 \le l \le n.
\end{aligned} \tag{46}
$$

Fron these facts we obtain

**Proposition 8** for $1 \le j \le n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V\left(\vec{\zeta}\right)^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_j}V\left(\vec{\zeta}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\sinh(2|\zeta_j|)}{2|\zeta_j|}\right)\left\{e_{n,j+1}a_j^\dagger a_{n+1}^\dagger + \sum_{l=j+1}^{n} f_{l,j+1}a_j^\dagger a_l\right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{\bar{\zeta}_j}{2|\zeta_j|^2}\left(-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_j|)\right)\frac{1}{2}\left\{a_j^\dagger a_j + e_{n,j+1}^2\left(a_{n+1}^\dagger a_{n+1}+1\right) + \sum_{l=j+1}^{n} e_{n,j+1}\bar{f}_{l,j+1}a_l^\dagger a_{n+1}^\dagger\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{l=j+1}^{n} e_{n,j+1}f_{l,j+1}a_{n+1}a_l + \sum_{l,k=j+1}^{n} f_{l,j+1}\bar{f}_{k,j+1}a_l^\dagger a_k\right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{\bar{\zeta}_j^{\,2}}{2|\zeta_j|^2}\left(-1+\frac{\sinh(2|\zeta_j|)}{2|\zeta_j|}\right)\left\{e_{n,j+1}a_{n+1}a_j + \sum_{l=j+1}^{n}\bar{f}_{l,j+1}a_l^\dagger a_j\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{47}
$$

# 4 Optical Holonomic Quantum Computer $\cdots$ Generalization

Let $H_0$ be a Hamiltonian with nonlinear interaction produced by a Kerr medium., that is $H_0 = \hbar \mathrm{X} N(N-1)$, where X is a certain constant, see [12]. The eigenvectors of $H_0$ corresponding to 0 is $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$, so its eigenspace is $\mathrm{Vect}\,\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\} \cong \mathbf{C}^2$. We correspond to $0 \to |0\rangle,\ 1 \to |1\rangle$ for a generator of Boolean algebra $\{0,1\}$. The space $\mathrm{Vect}\,\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ is called 1-qubit (quantum bit) space, see [2] or [3]. Since we are considering the system of $n+1$ particles, the Hamiltonian that we treat in the following becomes

$$
H_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \hbar \mathrm{X} N_j(N_j-1). \tag{48}
$$

The 0–eigenspace of this Hamiltonian becomes therefore

$$
F_0 = \mathrm{Vect}\,\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Vect}\,\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\} \cong \mathbf{C}^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{C}^2 \cong \mathbf{C}^{2^{n+1}}. \tag{49}
$$

We denote

$$\langle \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{n+1} | \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_{n+1} \rangle = \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \langle \alpha_j | \beta_j \rangle = \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \delta_{\alpha_j \beta_j},$$

for $|\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{n+1}\rangle,\ |\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_{n+1}\rangle \in F_0$. We order the basis of $F_0$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
|0\rangle &= |0, 0, \cdots, 0, 0\rangle, \\
|1\rangle &= |0, 0, \cdots, 0, 1\rangle, \\
&\cdots \\
|2^{n+1} - 2\rangle &= |1, 1, \cdots, 1, 0\rangle, \\
|2^{n+1} - 1\rangle &= |1, 1, \cdots, 1, 1\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

and set

$$|vac\rangle = \Big( |0\rangle, |1\rangle, \cdots, |2^{n+1} - 1\rangle \Big). \tag{50}$$

Namely $m = 2^{n+1} - 1$ in (16).

Here we consider the following isospectral family of $H_0$ above :

$$H_{(\vec{\xi}\,, \vec{\zeta}\,)} = W(\vec{\xi}\,, \vec{\zeta}\,) H_0 W(\vec{\xi}\,, \vec{\zeta}\,)^{-1}, \tag{51}$$

$$W(\vec{\xi}\,, \vec{\zeta}\,) = U(\vec{\xi}\,) V(\vec{\zeta}\,) \in U(\mathcal{H} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H})\ (n+1-\text{times}), \quad W(\vec{0}\,, \vec{0}\,) = \text{id}. \tag{52}$$

For this system we want to calculate a connection form (17) in the last section. For that we set : for $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{\xi_j} &= \langle vac | W(\vec{\xi}\,, \vec{\zeta}\,)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} W(\vec{\xi}\,, \vec{\zeta}\,) | vac \rangle, \\
A_{\zeta_j} &= \langle vac | W(\vec{\xi}\,, \vec{\zeta}\,)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} W(\vec{\xi}\,, \vec{\zeta}\,) | vac \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Here we note

$$\begin{aligned}
W(\vec{\xi}\,, \vec{\zeta}\,)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} W(\vec{\xi}\,, \vec{\zeta}\,) &= V(\vec{\zeta}\,)^{-1} \left\{ U(\vec{\xi}\,)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} U(\vec{\xi}\,) \right\} V(\vec{\zeta}\,), \\
W(\vec{\xi}\,, \vec{\zeta}\,)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} W(\vec{\xi}\,, \vec{\zeta}\,) &= V(\vec{\zeta}\,)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} V(\vec{\zeta}\,).
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

On the other hand in Proposition 4 and Proposition 8 we have already calculated $U(\vec{\xi}\,)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} U(\vec{\xi}\,)$ and $V(\vec{\zeta}\,)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} V(\vec{\zeta}\,)$.

From Proposition 4 we must calculate $V^{-1}a_\alpha^\dagger a_\beta V = (V^{-1}a_\alpha V)^\dagger (V^{-1}a_\beta V)$, where $V = V_1V_2\cdots V_n$. Therefore let us calculate $V^{-1}a_\alpha V \quad$ for $1 \le \alpha \le n+1$. But remarking that $V_j a_k = a_k V_j \quad$ for $1 \le k \le j-1$ because $V_j \equiv V_j(\zeta_j) = \exp\Big(\zeta_j a_j^\dagger {a_{n+1}}^\dagger - \bar{\zeta}_j a_{n+1} a_j\Big)$ we must calculate

$$V^{-1}a_jV = V_n^{-1}\cdots V_j^{-1}a_jV_j\cdots V_n \qquad \text{for } 1 \le j \le n,$$
$$V^{-1}a_{n+1}V = V_n^{-1}\cdots V_1^{-1}a_{n+1}V_1\cdots V_n.$$

To calculate these is not so difficult. The result is

**Lemma 9**

$$V^{-1}a_jV = \cosh(|\zeta_j|)a_j + \frac{\zeta_j\sinh(|\zeta_j|)}{|\zeta_j|}\left\{\sum_{l=j+1}^{n}\prod_{k=j+1}^{l-1}\cosh(|\zeta_k|)\frac{\bar{\zeta}_l\sinh(|\zeta_l|)}{|\zeta_l|}a_l + \prod_{k=j+1}^{n}\cosh(|\zeta_k|)a_{n+1}^\dagger\right\} \qquad \text{for } 1 \le j \le n\ , \tag{55}$$

$$V^{-1}a_{n+1}V = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\{\prod_{l=1}^{k-1}\cosh(|\zeta_l|)\right\}\frac{\zeta_k\sinh(|\zeta_k|)}{|\zeta_k|}a_k^\dagger + \prod_{l=1}^{n}\cosh(|\zeta_l|)a_{n+1}. \tag{56}$$

Here we should understand that $\prod_k^l(\cdots) = 1$ if $l < k$.

Using this we can calculate $V^{-1}a_\alpha^\dagger a_\beta V$ and next calculate (54) in principle. But it is not easy for us to obtain a compact form for this up to this time. Therefore let us restrict to some special cases ($n = 1, 2$) and obtain complete forms.

## 4.1 Example $\cdots$ $n = 1$

In this case we can obtain the connection form in a complete manner. See [13] and also [12]. For simplicity we set $\xi_1 = \xi$ and $\zeta_1 = \zeta$. The result is

**Lemma 10** we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&W^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}W \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{\sin(2|\xi|)}{2|\xi|}\right)\left\{\cosh(2|\zeta|)a_1^\dagger a_2 + \frac{\zeta\sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|}\left(a_1^\dagger\right)^2 + \frac{\bar{\zeta}\sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|}\left(a_2\right)^2\right\} \\
&+ \frac{\bar{\xi}}{2|\xi|^2}\left(1 - \cos(2|\xi|)\right)\frac{1}{2}\left(a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{\bar{\xi}^2}{2|\xi|^2}\left(-1+\frac{\sin(2|\xi|)}{2|\xi|}\right)\left\{\cosh(2|\zeta|)a_2^\dagger a_1+\frac{\bar{\zeta}\sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|}(a_1)^2+\frac{\zeta\sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|}\left(a_2^\dagger\right)^2\right\} \tag{57}$$

$$W^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta}W$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|}\right)a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger+\frac{\bar{\zeta}}{2|\zeta|^2}\left(-1+\cosh(2|\zeta|)\right)\frac{1}{2}\left(a_1^\dagger a_1+a_2^\dagger a_2+1\right)$$

$$+\frac{\bar{\zeta}^2}{2|\zeta|^2}\left(-1+\frac{\sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\xi|}\right)a_1a_2. \tag{58}$$

From this lemma it is easy to calculate $A_\xi$ and $A_\zeta$. Let us here remember

$$|vac\rangle=(|0,0\rangle,|0,1\rangle,|1,0\rangle,|1,1\rangle).$$

Before stating the result let us prepare some notations.

$$\widehat{E}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\widehat{F}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\widehat{H}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&\frac{1}{2}&0&0\\0&0&-\frac{1}{2}&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{59}$$

$$\widehat{A}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&1\\0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\widehat{C}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\widehat{B}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2}&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&\frac{3}{2}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{60}$$

**Proposition 11** We have

$$A_\xi=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\sin(2|\xi|)}{2|\xi|}\right)\cosh(2|\zeta|)\widehat{F}-\frac{\bar{\xi}}{2|\xi|^2}\left(1-\cos(2|\xi|)\right)\widehat{H}$$
$$+\frac{\bar{\xi}^2}{2|\xi|^2}\left(-1+\frac{\sin(2|\xi|)}{2|\xi|}\right)\cosh(2|\zeta|)\widehat{E}, \tag{61}$$

$$A_\zeta=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|}\right)\widehat{C}+\frac{\bar{\zeta}}{2|\zeta|^2}\left(-1+\cosh(2|\zeta|)\right)\widehat{B}$$
$$+\frac{\bar{\zeta}^2}{2|\zeta|^2}\left(-1+\frac{\sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|}\right)\widehat{A}. \tag{62}$$

Since the connection form $\mathcal{A}$ is anti-hermitian ($\mathcal{A}^\dagger=-\mathcal{A}$), it can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}=A_\xi d\xi+A_\zeta d\zeta-A_\xi^\dagger d\bar{\xi}-A_\zeta^\dagger d\bar{\zeta}\ , \tag{63}$$

so that it's curvature form $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F} = & \left(\partial_\xi A_\zeta - \partial_\zeta A_\xi + [A_\xi, A_\zeta]\right) d\xi \wedge d\zeta \\
& - \left(\partial_\xi A_\xi^\dagger + \partial_{\bar\xi} A_\xi + [A_\xi, A_\xi^\dagger]\right) d\xi \wedge d\bar\xi \\
& - \left(\partial_\xi A_\zeta^\dagger + \partial_{\bar\zeta} A_\xi + [A_\xi, A_\zeta^\dagger]\right) d\xi \wedge d\bar\zeta \\
& - \left(\partial_\zeta A_\xi^\dagger + \partial_{\bar\xi} A_\zeta + [A_\zeta, A_\xi^\dagger]\right) d\zeta \wedge d\bar\xi \\
& - \left(\partial_\zeta A_\zeta^\dagger + \partial_{\bar\zeta} A_\zeta + [A_\zeta, A_\zeta^\dagger]\right) d\zeta \wedge d\bar\zeta \\
& - \left(\partial_{\bar\xi} A_\zeta^\dagger - \partial_{\bar\zeta} A_\xi^\dagger + [A_\zeta^\dagger, A_\xi^\dagger]\right) d\bar\xi \wedge d\bar\zeta. \qquad (64)
\end{aligned}$$

In this case we can calculate the curvature form completely. Now let us state our main result in this section.

**Theorem 12**

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F} = \\
& -\left\{ \left(1 + \frac{\sin(2|\xi|)}{2|\xi|}\right) \frac{\bar\zeta \sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|} \widehat{F} + \frac{\bar\xi^2}{|\xi|^2} \left(-1 + \frac{\sin(2|\xi|)}{2|\xi|}\right) \frac{\bar\zeta \sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|} \widehat{E} \right\} d\xi \wedge d\zeta \\
& -\left\{ \frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2} \left(-1 + \cos(2|\xi|)\right) \cosh(2|\zeta|) \widehat{F} - \frac{\sin(2|\xi|)}{|\xi|} \left(1 + \cosh^2(2|\zeta|)\right) \widehat{H} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{\bar\xi}{|\xi|^2} \left(-1 + \cos(2|\xi|)\right) \cosh(2|\zeta|) \widehat{E} \right\} d\xi \wedge d\bar\xi \\
& -\left\{ \left(1 + \frac{\sin(2|\xi|)}{2|\xi|}\right) \frac{\zeta \sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|} \widehat{F} + \frac{\bar\xi^2}{|\xi|^2} \left(-1 + \frac{\sin(2|\xi|)}{2|\xi|}\right) \frac{\zeta \sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|} \widehat{E} \right\} d\xi \wedge d\bar\zeta \\
& -\left\{ \left(1 + \frac{\sin(2|\xi|)}{2|\xi|}\right) \frac{\bar\zeta \sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|} \widehat{E} + \frac{\xi^2}{|\xi|^2} \left(-1 + \frac{\sin(2|\xi|)}{2|\xi|}\right) \frac{\bar\zeta \sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|} \widehat{F} \right\} d\zeta \wedge d\bar\xi \\
& -\frac{\sinh(2|\zeta|)}{|\zeta|} \left(2\widehat{B} - \mathbf{1}_4\right) d\zeta \wedge d\bar\zeta \\
& +\left\{ \left(1 + \frac{\sin(2|\xi|)}{2|\xi|}\right) \frac{\zeta \sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|} \widehat{E} + \frac{\xi^2}{|\xi|^2} \left(-1 + \frac{\sin(2|\xi|)}{2|\xi|}\right) \frac{\zeta \sinh(2|\zeta|)}{2|\zeta|} \widehat{F} \right\} d\bar\xi \wedge d\bar\zeta. \qquad (65)
\end{aligned}$$

From this and the theorem of Ambrose–Singer (see [17]) it is easy to see that

**Corollary**

$$Hol(\mathcal{A}) = SU(2) \times U(1) \ \subset \ U(4). \qquad (66)$$

Therefore $\mathcal{A}$ is not irreducible.

## 4.2 Example $\cdots$ $n=2$

For this case we can also obtain the connection form in a complete manner. Let us perform.

**Lemma 13** We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&W^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}W=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\sin(2|\xi_1|)}{2|\xi_1|}\right)\left\{\cos(|\xi_2|)V^{-1}a_1^\dagger a_3V-\frac{\bar{\xi_2}\sin(|\xi_2|)}{|\xi_2|}V^{-1}a_1^\dagger a_2V\right\}\\
&+\frac{\bar{\xi_1}}{2|\xi_1|^2}\left(1-\cos(2|\xi_1|)\right)\frac{1}{2}\left\{V^{-1}a_1^\dagger a_1V-\frac{1+\cos(2|\xi_2|)}{2}V^{-1}a_3^\dagger a_3V\right.\\
&\left.+\frac{\bar{\xi_2}\sin(2|\xi_2|)}{2|\xi_2|}V^{-1}a_3^\dagger a_2V+\frac{\xi_2\sin(2|\xi_2|)}{2|\xi_2|}V^{-1}a_2^\dagger a_3V-\frac{1-\cos(2|\xi_2|)}{2}V^{-1}a_2^\dagger a_2V\right\}\\
&+\frac{\bar{\xi_1}^2}{2|\xi_1|^2}\left(-1+\frac{\sin(2|\xi_1|)}{2|\xi_1|}\right)\left\{\cos(|\xi_2|)V^{-1}a_3^\dagger a_1V-\frac{\xi_2\sin(|\xi_2|)}{|\xi_2|}V^{-1}a_2^\dagger a_1V\right\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{67}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&W^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_2}W=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\sin(2|\xi_2|)}{2|\xi_2|}\right)V^{-1}a_2^\dagger a_3V\\
&+\frac{\bar{\xi_2}}{2|\xi_2|^2}\left(1-\cos(2|\xi_2|)\right)\frac{1}{2}\left(V^{-1}a_2^\dagger a_2V-V^{-1}a_3^\dagger a_3V\right)\\
&+\frac{\bar{\xi_2}^2}{2|\xi_2|^2}\left(-1+\frac{\sin(2|\xi_2|)}{2|\xi_2|}\right)V^{-1}a_3^\dagger a_2V,
\end{aligned}
\tag{68}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&W^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_1}W=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\sinh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2|\zeta_1|}\right)\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)a_1^\dagger a_3^\dagger+\frac{\bar{\zeta_2}\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}a_1^\dagger a_2\right\}\\
&+\frac{\bar{\zeta_1}}{2|\zeta_1|^2}\left(-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_1|)\right)\frac{1}{2}\left\{a_1^\dagger a_1+\frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}(a_3^\dagger a_3+1)+\frac{\bar{\zeta_2}\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}a_2a_3\right.\\
&\left.+\frac{\zeta_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}a_2^\dagger a_3^\dagger+\frac{-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}a_2^\dagger a_2\right\}\\
&+\frac{\bar{\zeta_1}^2}{2|\zeta_1|^2}\left(-1+\frac{\sinh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2|\zeta_1|}\right)\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)a_1a_3+\frac{\zeta_2\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}a_2^\dagger a_1\right\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{69}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&W^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_2}W=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}\right)a_2^\dagger a_3^\dagger+\frac{\bar{\zeta_2}}{2|\zeta_2|^2}\left(-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)\right)\frac{1}{2}\left(a_2^\dagger a_2+a_3^\dagger a_3+1\right)\\
&+\frac{\bar{\zeta_2}^2}{2|\zeta_2|^2}\left(-1+\frac{\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}\right)a_2a_3,
\end{aligned}
\tag{70}
$$

where we remember $V=V_1V_2=V_1(\zeta_1)V_2(\zeta_2)=\exp\Big(\zeta_1a_1^\dagger a_3{}^\dagger-\bar{\zeta_1}a_3a_1\Big)\exp\Big(\zeta_2a_2^\dagger a_3{}^\dagger-\bar{\zeta_2}a_3a_2\Big)$.

Next let us calculate $V^{-1}a_i^\dagger a_jV$ for $1\le i,j\le 3$. From Lemma 9 we have

**Corollary 14**

$$V^{-1}a_1V = \cosh(|\zeta_1|)a_1 + \frac{\zeta_1\sinh(|\zeta_1|)}{|\zeta_1|}\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)a_3^\dagger + \frac{\bar{\zeta_2}\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}a_2\right\},$$
$$V^{-1}a_2V = \cosh(|\zeta_2|)a_2 + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}a_3^\dagger,$$
$$V^{-1}a_3V = \frac{\zeta_1\sinh(|\zeta_1|)}{|\zeta_1|}a_1^\dagger + \cosh(|\zeta_1|)\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)a_3 + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}a_2^\dagger\right\}.$$

From this we obtain

**Lemma 15**

$$V^{-1}a_1^\dagger a_1V = \frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2}a_1^\dagger a_1 + \frac{\zeta_1\sinh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2|\zeta_1|}\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)a_1^\dagger a_3^\dagger + \frac{\bar{\zeta_2}\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}a_1^\dagger a_2\right\}$$
$$+\frac{\bar{\zeta_1}\sinh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2|\zeta_1|}\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)a_3a_1 + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}a_2^\dagger a_1\right\}$$
$$+\frac{-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2}\left\{\frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}\left(a_3^\dagger a_3+1\right) + \frac{\bar{\zeta_2}\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}a_3a_2\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{\zeta_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}a_2^\dagger a_3^\dagger + \frac{-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}a_2^\dagger a_2\right\},$$

$$V^{-1}a_1^\dagger a_2V = \cosh(|\zeta_1|)\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)a_1^\dagger a_2 + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}a_1^\dagger a_3^\dagger\right\}$$
$$+\frac{\bar{\zeta_1}\sinh(|\zeta_1|)}{|\zeta_1|}\left\{\frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}a_3a_2 + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}\left(a_3^\dagger a_3+1\right)\right\}$$
$$+\frac{\zeta_1\sinh(|\zeta_1|)}{|\zeta_1|}\left\{\frac{\zeta_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}a_2^\dagger a_2 + \frac{{\zeta_2}^2\left(-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)\right)}{2|\zeta_2|^2}a_2^\dagger a_3^\dagger\right\},$$

$$V^{-1}a_1^\dagger a_3V = \frac{\zeta_1\sinh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2|\zeta_1|}a_1^\dagger a_1^\dagger + \cosh(2|\zeta_1|)\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)a_1^\dagger a_3 + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger\right\}$$
$$+\frac{\bar{\zeta_1}\sinh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2|\zeta_1|}\left\{\frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}a_3^2 + \frac{2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}a_3a_2^\dagger + \frac{{\zeta_2}^2\left(-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)\right)}{2|\zeta_2|^2}a_2^\dagger a_2^\dagger\right\},$$

$$V^{-1}a_2^\dagger a_2V = \frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}a_2^\dagger a_2 + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}a_2^\dagger a_3^\dagger + \frac{-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}\left(a_3^\dagger a_3+1\right)$$
$$+\frac{\bar{\zeta_2}\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}a_3a_2,$$

$$V^{-1}a_2^\dagger a_3V = \frac{\zeta_1\sinh(|\zeta_1|)}{|\zeta_1|}\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)a_2^\dagger a_1^\dagger + \frac{\bar{\zeta_2}\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}a_3a_1^\dagger\right\}$$

$$+\cosh(|\zeta_1|)\left\{\frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}a_2^\dagger a_3+\frac{\zeta_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}a_2^\dagger a_2^\dagger+\frac{\bar{\zeta_2}\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}a_3^2+\frac{-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}a_3a_2^\dagger\right\},$$

$$V^{-1}a_3^\dagger a_3V=\frac{-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2}\left(a_1^\dagger a_1+1\right)+\frac{\bar{\zeta_1}\sinh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2|\zeta_1|}\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)a_1a_3+\frac{\zeta_2\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}a_1a_2^\dagger\right\}$$
$$+\frac{\zeta_1\sinh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2|\zeta_1|}\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)a_3^\dagger a_1^\dagger+\frac{\bar{\zeta_2}\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}a_2a_1^\dagger\right\}$$
$$+\frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2}\left\{\frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}a_3^\dagger a_3+\frac{\zeta_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}a_3^\dagger a_2^\dagger+\frac{\bar{\zeta_2}\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}a_2a_3\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}\left(a_2^\dagger a_2+1\right)\right\}.$$

Making use of this lemma it is not difficult to calculate $A_{\xi_j}$ and $A_{\zeta_j}$ $(j=1,2)$. Let us again remember

$$|vac\rangle=(|0,0,0\rangle,|0,0,1\rangle,|0,1,0\rangle,|0,1,1\rangle,|1,0,0\rangle,|1,0,1\rangle,|1,1,0\rangle,|1,1,1\rangle).$$

Therefore we have only to know that for $1\le i,j\le 3$

$$\langle vac|a_i^\dagger a_j|vac\rangle,\ \langle vac|a_ia_j|vac\rangle \text{ and } \langle vac|V^{-1}a_i^\dagger a_jV|vac\rangle.$$

First let us determine $\langle vac|a_i^\dagger a_j|vac\rangle$ and $\langle vac|a_ia_j|vac\rangle$.

**Lemma 16**

$$\langle vac|a_1^\dagger a_1|vac\rangle=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix},\langle vac|a_1^\dagger a_2|vac\rangle=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\langle vac|a_1^\dagger a_3|vac\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \langle vac|a_1 a_2|vac\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\langle vac|a_1 a_3|vac\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \langle vac|a_2^\dagger a_2|vac\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\langle vac|a_2^\dagger a_3|vac\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \langle vac|a_2 a_3|vac\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\langle vac|a_3^\dagger a_3|vac\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle vac|a_j^2|vac\rangle = \mathbf{0}_8 \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Next let us determine $\langle vac|V^{-1}a_i^\dagger a_j V|vac\rangle$. For that we prepare some notations : for $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ we set

$$M_{ij} = \langle vac|a_i^\dagger a_j|vac\rangle, \quad N_{ij} = \langle vac|a_i a_j|vac\rangle.$$

Then both $M_{ij}$ and $N_{ij}$ are real matrices and moreover satisfy

$$M_{ij}^\dagger = M_{ji} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{ii} \neq \mathbf{0}_8,$$
$$N_{ij} = N_{ji} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{ii} = \mathbf{0}_8.$$

Then we have

**Lemma 17**

$$\langle vac|V^{-1}a_1^\dagger a_1 V|vac\rangle = \frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2}M_{11} + \frac{\zeta_1 \sinh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2|\zeta_1|}\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)N_{13}^\dagger + \frac{\bar{\zeta_2}\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}M_{12}\right\}$$
$$+ \frac{\bar{\zeta_1}\sinh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2|\zeta_1|}\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)N_{13} + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}M_{12}^\dagger\right\} + \frac{-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2}\left\{\frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}(M_{33}+E)\right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{\bar{\zeta_2}\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}N_{23} + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}N_{23}^\dagger + \frac{-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}M_{22}\right\}, \tag{71}$$

$$\langle vac|V^{-1}a_1^\dagger a_2 V|vac\rangle = \cosh(|\zeta_1|)\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)M_{12} + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}N_{13}^\dagger\right\}$$
$$+ \frac{\bar{\zeta_1}\sinh(|\zeta_1|)}{|\zeta_1|}\left\{\frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}N_{23} + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}(M_{33}+E)\right\}$$
$$+ \frac{\zeta_1\sinh(|\zeta_1|)}{|\zeta_1|}\left\{\frac{\zeta_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}M_{22} + \frac{{\zeta_2}^2(-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|))}{2|\zeta_2|^2}N_{23}^\dagger\right\}, \tag{72}$$

$$V^{-1}a_1^\dagger a_3 V = \cosh(2|\zeta_1|)\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)M_{13} + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}N_{12}^\dagger\right\}$$
$$+\frac{\bar{\zeta}_1\sinh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2|\zeta_1|}\frac{2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}M_{23}, \tag{73}$$

$$V^{-1}a_2^\dagger a_2 V = \frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}M_{22} + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}N_{23}^\dagger + \frac{-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}(M_{33}+E)$$
$$+\frac{\bar{\zeta}_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}N_{23}, \tag{74}$$

$$V^{-1}a_2^\dagger a_3 V = \frac{\zeta_1\sinh(|\zeta_1|)}{|\zeta_1|}\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)N_{12}^\dagger + \frac{\bar{\zeta}_2\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}M_{13}\right\} + \cosh(|\zeta_1|)\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)M_{23}, \tag{75}$$

$$V^{-1}a_3^\dagger a_3 V = \frac{-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2}(M_{11}+E) + \frac{\bar{\zeta}_1\sinh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2|\zeta_1|}\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)N_{13} + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}M_{12}^\dagger\right\}$$
$$+\frac{\zeta_1\sinh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2|\zeta_1|}\left\{\cosh(|\zeta_2|)N_{13}^\dagger + \frac{\bar{\zeta}_2\sinh(|\zeta_2|)}{|\zeta_2|}M_{12}\right\}$$
$$+\frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_1|)}{2}\left\{\frac{1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}M_{33} + \frac{\zeta_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}N_{23}^\dagger + \frac{\bar{\zeta}_2\sinh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2|\zeta_2|}N_{23}\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{-1+\cosh(2|\zeta_2|)}{2}(M_{22}+E)\right\}. \tag{76}$$

Here we have denoted by $E$ the unit matrix in $M(8,\mathbf{C})$.

Using these lemmas we can obtain $A_{\xi_j}$ and $A_{\zeta_j}$ $(j=1,2)$ completely. Next we must calculate the curvature form making use of the connection form, but it is too hard. We leave its calculation to interested readers.

# 5 Discussion

We in this paper defined unitary coherent operators based on Lie algebras $su(n+1)$ and $su(n,1)$ and, making use of these, calculated non–abelian Berry connections of quantum computational bundles proposed by Zanardi and Rasetti [6] . For $n=1$ and 2 we gave an explicit form to them. This ia a generalization of that of Pachos and Chountasis [12].

But for $n \geq 3$ we could not give explicit ones due to complexity. Therefore our paper

is far from complete. As $n$ becomes large our culculation will become miserable. Moreover we didn't perform the calculation of curvatures except for $n = 1$.

We have a lot of problems to be performed. We expect that many young mathematical physicists with brute force will enter in this field.

*Acknowledgment.*

The author wishes to thank K. Funahashi and Y. Machida for their helpful comments and suggestions. I also thank J. Pachos and S. Chountasis for some useful suggestions.

# References

[1] P. W. Shor : Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer, SIAM J. Computing., 26(1997), 1484, quant–ph 9508027.

[2] A. Steane : Quantum Computing, Rept. Prog. Phys., 61(1998), 117.

[3] E. Rieffel and W. Polak : An Introduction to Quantum Computing for Non-Physicists, quant–ph 9809016.

[4] H. K. Lo, S. Popescu and T. Spiller (Eds) : Introduction to quantum computation and information, World Scientific, Singapore, 1999.

[5] J. Jones, V. Vedral. A. Ekert and G. Castagnoli : Geometric Quantum Computation with NMR, quant–ph 9910052.

[6] P. Zanardi and M. Rasetti : Holonomic Quantum Computation, Phys. Lett. A264(1999), 94, quant–ph 9904011.

[7] J. Pachos, P. Zanardi and M. Rasetti : Non-Abelian Berry connections for quantum computation, to appear in Phys. Rev. A, quant–ph 9907103.

[8] J. Preskill : Fault-Tolerant Quantum Computation, quant–ph 97120408.

[9] A. Yu. Kitaev : Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons, quant–ph 9707021.

[10] A. Shapere and F. Wilczek (Eds) : Geometric Phases in Physics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.

[11] K. Fujii : Note on Coherent States and Adiabatic Connections, Curvatures, to appear in J. Math. Phys.

[12] J. Pachos and S. Chountasis : Optical Holonomic Quantum Computer, quant–ph 9912093.

[13] K. Fujii : Mathematical Foundations of Holonomic Quantum Computer, quant–ph 0004102.

[14] K. Funahashi, T. Kashiwa, S. Sakoda and K. Fujii : Coherent states, path integral, and semiclassical approximation, J. Math. Phys., 36(1995), 3232.

[15] K. Funahashi, T. Kashiwa, S. Sakoda and K. Fujii : Exactness in the Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin approximation for some homogeneous spaces, J. Math. Phys., 36(1995), 4590.

[16] K. Fujii, T. Kashiwa, S. Sakoda :Coherent states over Grassmann manifolds and the WKB exactness in path integral, J. Math. Phys., 37(1996), 567.

[17] M. Nakahara : Geometry, Topology and Physics, IOP Publishing Ltd, 1990.

[18] K. Fujii : Solutions of $A_\infty$ Toda equations based on noncompact group $SU(1,1)$ and infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifolds, J. Math. Phys., 36(1995), 1652.

[19] A. Perelomov : Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications, Springer–Verlag, 1986.

[20] K. Fujii and T. Suzuki : A Universal Disentangling Formula for Coherent States of Perelomov's Type, hep–th 9907049.